

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/28/2003 10/695,369 Martin Weinmann DSC-199 5943 EXAMINER 24131 7590 09/21/2005 LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA HUSBAND, SARAH E P O BOX 2480 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 1746

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/695,369	WEINMANN, MARTIN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Sarah E. Husband	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	ctober 2003.	
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
- 1	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🖾	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)[) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
, <u>-</u>	·— · · · — ·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
,—	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			



DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "forming a difference of the energy consumption" leads to some confusion as to what the actual step in the process is; especially the terminology of forming, where it seems as nothing is formed just data compared and it is unclear if energy consumption is referring to the electrical friction power. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne (US Patent No. 5,161,393).

Payne discloses determining the loading of a drum by measuring the moment of inertia. Payne further discloses measuring the moment of inertia by measuring the time required to accelerate from one set speed to a higher set speed. These "set" speeds being predetermined and therefore having a measurement taken during the setting of the speeds. Payne discloses measuring the torque of the motor at various times (col. 7) and this would relate to the electrical friction power of the motor. If the electrical friction power is not related to the torque, applicant should clarify. Payne also discloses using the product of the

Art Unit: 1746

moment of inertia and acceleration (abs., col. 1, line 60-col. 2, line 27; col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 10). Although this is not the exact same formula as Applicant, Payne's measurements would contain similar data and one of ordinary skill in the art would foresee using this data as well to obtain a difference between load readings.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not referred to are Weinmann (US 6505369), Ciancimino (US Pub 20020035757), Yang (US Pub 20030009832), Bruce (US Pub 20030000262 and US 6418581), Jonsson (US 6578225), Payne (US 5325677) and Tani (US 5293760), who teach load or imbalance measurement in washing machines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,369

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEH

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER